

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/538,543	03/30/2000	Edward A. Hubbard	BAY3:002	8287	
7	590 12/23/2003	EXAMINER			
KELLY K. K		COULTER, KENNETH R			
WINSTEAD S POST OFFICE	ECHREST & MINICK EBOX 50784	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1201 MAIN ST		2141	12		
DALLAS, TX 75250-0784			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

75

		Appl	cation No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			38,543		HUBBARD, EDWARD A.				
			niner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of the			eth R Coulter	2141					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears o	n the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	aaress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN usions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commoderid for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. iii) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) o and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro e application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered time om the mailing date of this o NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ly. communication.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>01 Decemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action	is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-72</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b) objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action cknowledgment is made of a claim funce a specific reference was included T CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign large cknowledgment is made of a claim function.	documents have documents have of the priority document priority document priority document of the first of the din the first sentence or domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority domestic priority documents and documents and documents and documents documents and	been received. been received in Application been received in Application been received in Application cuments have been received. Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not received in the specification all application has been received.	ation No ived in this National ved. 9(e) (to a provisiona or in an Application eceived. 20 and/or 121 since	al application) Data Sheet. a specific				
reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.									
Attachment			_						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P		4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(I Patent Application (PT0					

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Раде 2

en. Line of the state

1. Doggon

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 72 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being disclosed by <u>London</u> (Popcorn
 A paradigm for Global-Computing; June 1998)
- 2.1 Regarding claim 1, <u>London</u> discloses a method of operating a distributed parallel processing system, comprising:

providing a server system (pp. 8 - 14);

coupling the server system to a network, the network being connectable to distributed, devices (pp. 8 - 14);

providing an incentive to couple the distributed devices to the server system through the network so that the distributed devices are capable of performing workloads for the distributed parallel processing system (pp. 8 - 14);

Application/Control Number: 09/538,543

Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

identifying a workload capability factor for a plurality of the distributed devices (pp. 8 - 14); and

utilizing the identified workload capability factor within the server system (pp. 8 - 14).

- 2.2 Per claims 2 13, <u>London</u> teaches the particulars involving rewards, contests, monetary payments (p. 12; "lottery").
- 2.3 Regarding claims 14 28, <u>London</u> discloses the particulars involving capabilities (pp. 8 14) and network (pp. 8 14).
- 2.4 Per claims 29 72, the rejection of claims 1 28 under 35 USC 102(b) (paragraphs 2.1 2.3 above) applies fully.

krc

December 15, 2003